

## Dore Neighbourhood Forum

### Steering Group meeting 3<sup>rd</sup>. July 2018

Present: Christopher Pennell (CP), David Crosby (DC), Pat Ryan and Keith Shaw (KS).

#### Apologies

1. Thelma Harvey (TH), Jen Donnelly (JD), David Bearpark (DRB).

#### Minutes of the meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup> June 2018.

2. The minutes were agreed as a correct record.

#### Matters arising on the Minutes of the 20 June 2018 Meeting

3. DC confirmed that he had acted on issues raised at paras. 3 and 4

4. Actions agreed under Minutes 7 to 9 of the 16 May meeting will be confirmed as we check the schedule of comments.

5. Comments from statutory consultees on SEA/HRA screening opinions so far received by SCC have raised no issues; so we were surprised to see Sarah Smith requiring more SCC expert opinion on them before finalising the opinions.

6. Basic Conditions Statement has been amended and can be set aside until we make more progress on amending the Plan.

7. The meeting noted the numbering errors in the SCC P-SC response in CP's e-mail of 30 June.

8. While CP had not fully covered the remit at para. 27, his e-mail of 15 June covered a number of the areas where he felt that SCC had misunderstood our position.

9. Request to SCC for clarification on why / what legal advice it might be appropriate to take is still to be answered – **CP to monitor & DC to seek opinion of PDNPA**

10. Reports were given on attempts to back-up documents assembled in the course of the DNP work. This was a subject to be considered again from time to time. PR said he had electronic copies of the documentation produced during the neighbourhood planning process available: PR – screen shot of archive folder system attached.

11. Members attending the ADAS consultation event on 22 June about the proposed Long Line development reported back on the reactions from Dore residents also attending: the feedback to ADAS had been overwhelmingly critical.

12. Members noted JD's helpful schedule of members' holiday commitments

#### Forward Timetabling

13. CP had previously circulated a draft forward timetable, copy attached, which tried to group activities and events under 6 generic headings which would in part overlap each other in time. **This will be a standing agenda item at future meetings** to ensure all areas of action are covered.

14. In view of the failure of SCC to announce in June the launch of the Sheffield Plan consultation, the **meeting agreed to cancel the Steering Group meeting provisionally set for 10 July.**

17. CP had circulate prior to the meeting a draft article for DtoD on planning threats to Dore. All agreed it was valuable to alert residents to the potential threats, including changes to the Green Belt. A number of changes were suggested. **CP to progress.**

18. Two approaches suggested for most effectively analysing the next iteration of the Sheffield Plan and modifying the Dore NP; recruit new members for the SG – one person has been approached and two further candidates were

suggested, and / or reforming the WG's. The WGs could be asked to review the published new Sheffield Plan and to compare it with the relevant chapters of the DNP to identify comments to be made on the Sheffield Plan and further changes to be made to the DNP. To be discussed further at the next SG. **CP – agenda item.**

#### **Evidential Deficiencies.**

19. In six of the SCC comments in the P-SC, there were suggestions of deficiency of evidence; long Line landowners consultation, evidence of previous harm in gardens, housing density, Open Spaces, 50% retail units threshold and a Local List (as noted in CP's e-mail of 20 June). These will be dealt with in the Schedule of Comments.

#### **Pre-Submission Consultation, responding to comments received.**

20. All the comments received during the pre-submission consultation have been tabulated in the Schedule of Comments (see attached for SG members only as work in progress). For ease of reference each comment has been numbered, 1 – 123, and its location in the NP text identified by page and paragraph number, the full text of the comment is given in column three, the action agreed by the SG in column four and the proposed / agreed text amendment to the NP in column five where textual change is necessary.

21. A colour coding system is used in the schedule of comments to show the status of responses to comments and amendment of the text of the NP. In black are comments which have been discussed and an agreed change made to the text of the neighbourhood Plan. **In blue are comments which are thought to be relatively easy to address and await agreement by the SG. In red are more complex comments for discussion and agreement by the SG. In green amendments to the text of the NP agreed but not yet made to the latest version of the NP.** Where columns 4 & 5 are empty, no suggestions have yet been received for how to respond to the comment. It was emphasised that a number of the entries in columns 4 and 5 were at this stage tentative reactions and would need to be, not only reviewed, but also turned into carefully chosen words for public consumption when the Plan is submitted to SCC/PDNPA. **The definitive version of the schedule of comments will be updated by PR. The NP text will be updated by DC only after specific agreement from the SG.**

22. **SG members are encouraged, either individually or jointly, to provide potential responses to comments for discussion at the SG meetings to reduce the workload at the SG itself.** In the meantime this SG reviewed the first half of the schedule **which PR will update for private attachment to these minutes.**

23. It has been noted that the conversion of large WORD documents to a PDF format can result in page numbering changes. **In future the NP will be circulated as a WORD document rather than a PDF.**

#### **Dates of Future Meetings.**

August 1<sup>st</sup> & August 8<sup>th</sup>: both at 7.30.

Attachments; Schedule of Comments, Forward Timetable, Screen Shot of Document Archive. For SG members only.

PR. 05/07/2018

